

ENGINEERING AND COMPLIANCE OFFICE

APPLICATION PROCESSING AND CALCULATIONS

Pages	Page
10	1
A/N see below	Date 7-20-2010
Processed by RNL	Checked by

De Minimus Significant Title V Permit Revision

Sections D&H

Lithographic printing Presses

Adding New Rule 1401 Compounds

Legal Owner ID 37881

or Operator: VERTIS, INC.

250 W PRATT ST, 18TH FLOOR

BALTIMORE, MD 21201

Equipment

Location: 3200 POMONA BLVD, POMONA, CA 91768-3232

Equipment Description:

A/N 512207

Deminimus Significant Title V Permit Revision

SECTION D

Equipment	ID	Connected	Source	Emissions *	Conditions
	No.	То	Type/	And Requirements	
			Monitoring		
			Unit		
Process 1: PRINTING, DRYIN	G AND	CONTROL S	SYSTEMS		
PRINTING PRESS, LITHOGRAPHIC, NO. 702, HARRIS GOSS, HEATSET A/N: 329490 511059	D17			VOC: (9) [RULE 1130, 10-8- 1999, RULE 1171, 11-7-2003; RULE 1171, 5-1-2009)	B27.1 B59.2, H23.2, K67.2
OVEN, DRYING, NO. 5, NATURAL GAS, 7 MMBTU/HR A/N: 329490 511059	D18	C22		CO: 2000 PPMV NATURAL GAS (5) [RULE 407, 4-2-1982]; NOX: 30 PPMV (5) [RULE 1147, 12-5-2008]; NOX: 30 PPMV (4) [RULE 1303(a)(1)-BACT, 5-10-1996; RULE 1303(a)(1)-BACT, 12-6-2002]; PM: (9) [RULE 404, 2-7-1986]; PM: 0.1 GRAINS/SCF NATURAL GAS (5) [RULE 409, 8-7-1981]	H23.5
PRINTING PRESS, LITHOGRAPHIC, NO. 907, HARRIS, HEATSET A/N: 330277 511060	D20	C21		VOC: (9) [RULE 1130, 10-8- 1999, RULE 1171, 11-7-2003; RULE 1171, 5-1-2009)	B27.1 B59.2, H23.2, K67.2
AFTERBURNER, GRACE TEC, NATURAL GAS, INTEGRATED DRYER/THERMAL OXIDIZER, 3 MMBTU/HR A/N: 330277 511060	C21	D20		CO: 2000 PPMV NATURAL GAS (5) [RULE 407, 4-2-1982]; NOX: 30 PPMV (5) [RULE 1147, 12-5-2008]; PM: (9) [RULE 404, 2-7-1986]; PM: 0.1 GRAINS/SCF NATURAL GAS (5) [RULE 409, 8-7-1981]	D29.2, E193.2, H23.5



ENGINEERING AND COMPLIANCE OFFICE

APPLICATION PROCESSING AND CALCULATIONS

Pages	Page
10	2
A/N	Date
see below	7-20-2010
Processed by	Checked by
RNL	

Equipment	ID No.	Connected To	Source Type/ Monitoring Unit	Emissions * And Requirements	Conditions
Process 1: PRINTING, DRYIN	IG AND	CONTROL S	SYSTEMS .		
PRINTING PRESS, LITHOGRAPHIC, NO. 906, HARRIS, HEAT SET, A/N: 281129 507128 511055	D1			VOC: (9) [RULE 1130, 10-8- 1999, RULE 1171, 11-7-2003; RULE 1171, 5-1-2009)	B27.1 B59.2, H23.2, K67.2
OVEN, NO. 1, NATURAL GAS, 3.4 MMBTU/HR TOTAL, TWO MAXON M-PAKT ULTRA EB-3 BURNERS, EACH 1.7 MMBTU/HR A/N: 281129 507128 511055	D2	C3 C23		CO: 2000 PPMV NATURAL GAS (5) [RULE 407, 4-2-1982]; NOX: 30 PPMV (5) [RULE 1147, 12-5-2008]; PM: (9) [RULE 404, 2-7-1986]; PM: 0.1 GRAINS/SCF NATURAL GAS (5) [RULE 409, 8-7-1981]	D182.3, H23.6
PRINTING PRESS, LITHOGRAPHIC, HARRIS N-954-3, HEATSET A/N: 281130 507129 511056	D4			VOC: (9) [RULE 1130, 10-8- 1999, RULE 1171, 11-7-2003; RULE 1171, 5-1-2009)	B27.1 B59.2, H23.2, K67.2
OVEN, NO. 2, NATURAL GAS, 3.4 MMBTU/HR TOTAL, TWO MAXON M-PAKT ULTRA EB-3 BURNERS, EACH 1.7 MMBTU/HR A/N: 281130 507129 511056	D5	C3 C23		CO: 2000 PPMV NATURAL GAS (5) [RULE 407, 4-2-1982]; NOX: 30 PPMV (5) [RULE 1147, 12-5-2008]; PM: (9) [RULE 404, 2-7-1986]; PM: 0.1 GRAINS/SCF NATURAL GAS (5) [RULE 409, 8-7-1981]	D182.3, H23.6
PRINTING PRESS, LITHOGRAPHIC, HARRIS N-954-3, HEATSET A/N: 483695 507127 511057	D6			VOC: (9) [RULE 1130, 10-8-1999, RULE 1171, 11-7-2003; RULE 1171, 5-1-2009)	B27.1 B59.2, H23.2, K67.2
OVEN, NO. 2, NATURAL GAS, 3.4 MMBTU/HR TOTAL, TWO MAXON M-PAKT ULTRA EB-3 BURNERS, EACH 1.7 MMBTU/HR A/N: 483695 507127 511057	D7	C3 C23		CO: 2000 PPMV NATURAL GAS (5) [RULE 407, 4-2-1982]; NOX: 30 PPMV (5) [RULE 1147, 12-5-2008]; PM: (9) [RULE 404, 2-7-1986]; PM: 0.1 GRAINS/SCF NATURAL GAS (5) [RULE 409, 8-7-1981]	D182.3, H23.6
PRINTING PRESS, LITHOGRAPHIC, HARRIS N-954-3, HEATSET A/N: 317535 507131 511058	D15			VOC: (9) [RULE 1130, 10-8-1999, RULE 1171, 11-7-2003; RULE 1171, 5-1-2009)	B27.1 <u>B59.2</u> , H23.2, K67.2



ENGINEERING AND COMPLIANCE OFFICE

APPLICATION PROCESSING AND CALCULATIONS

Pages	Page
10	3
A/N	Date
see below	7-20-2010
Processed by	Checked by
RNL	

OVEN, NO. 2, NATURAL GAS, 3.4 MMBTU/HR TOTAL, TWO MAXON M-PAKT ULTRA EB-3 BURNERS, EACH 1.7 MMBTU/HR A/N: 317535 507131 511058	D16	C3 C23	CO: 2000 PPMV NATURAL GAS (5) [RULE 407, 4-2-1982]; NOX: 30 PPMV (5) [RULE 1147, 12-5-2008]; PM: (9) [RULE 404, 2-7-1986]; PM: 0.1 GRAINS/SCF NATURAL GAS (5) [RULE 409, 8-7-1981]	D182.3, H23.6

History

The above applications were submitted on 5-10-2010 to update Rule 1401 conditions, by removing Condition No. B27.1 for the heat set printing presses, and replacing it with Condition No. B59.2, with the following proposed wording:

B59.2 The operator shall not use the following material(s) in this device:

Materials containing toxic air contaminants identified in Rule 1401, Table I, with an effective date of June 5, 2009 or earlier, except for hydrochloric acid, naphthalene, PAHs, phosphoric acid, and xylenes.

Since Vertis is not proposing to increase usage amount of inks and other VOC-containing materials, the proposed permit condition changes will not result in any emission increases of ROG, and negligible increases of toxic air contaminants (TACs). Therefore, this project is considered a De Minimus Significant permit revision.

A review of District compliance records indicates that there are no citizen complaints, Notices to Comply, nor Notices of Violation issued to this facility during the last two years.



ENGINEERING AND COMPLIANCE OFFICE

APPLICATION PROCESSING AND CALCULATIONS

Pages	Page
10	4
A/N see below	Date 7-20-2010
Processed by RNL	Checked by

Emission Calculations

The following table summarizes the weight percents of TACs contained inks used at the facility, and the total annual ink usage quantity.

Vendor	Ink Code		Ink Usage (lbs/year)	Naphthalene (CAS 91-20-3)	PAHs (CAS 1150 & 1151)	Phosphoric Acid (CAS 7664-38-2)	<i>Xylenes</i> (CAS 1330-20-7)	Hydrochloric Acid (CAS 7647-01-0)
Flint	FVER222494	DLT Cyan	504,890	0.0060			0.0030	
Flint	FVER224346	Arroweb MT Cyan	95,280	0.0060			0.0030	
Flint	FVER222494	Arroweb RLT Black	9,447	0.0020	0.0030			
Flint	FVER202494	1LT Black	395,530	0.0020	0.0020			
Flint	FVER203346	Low Tack PUB	96,641	0.0020				0.0010
Flint	FVER242495	Arroweb Low Tack Magenta	128,503	0.0010				
Flint	FVER244346	LP Coated Magenta	115,302	0.0060				
Flint	FVER242494	DLT Magenta	477,446	0.0010				
Flint	FVER262495	Arroweb Low Tack Yellow	261,579	0.0020				
Flint	FVER273346	LP Coated Yellow	207,038	0.0070				
Flint	FVER262494	DLT Yellow	969,075	0.0020				

Please see the attached Excel worksheets for detailed calculations of maximum individual cancer risk (MICR), individual substance acute hazard index (HIA) and individual substance chronic hazard index (HIC). The following table summarizes the calculated results:



ENGINEERING AND COMPLIANCE OFFICE

APPLICATION PROCESSING AND CALCULATIONS

Pages	Page
10	5
a/N see below	Date 7-20-2010
Processed by RNL	Checked by

MICR

Compound	Residential	Commercial
Naphthalene	3.64E-08	1.82E-07
PAHs Phosphoric acid Xylenes (isomers and mixtures) Hydrogen chloride (hydrochloric acid)	2.94E-06	7.23E-06
Total	2.97E-06 PASS	7.41E-06 PASS

Hazard Index

Target Organs	Acute	Chronic	Acute Pass/Fail	Chronic Pass/Fail
Alimentary system (liver) - AL			Pass	Pass
Bones and teeth - BN			Pass	Pass
Cardiovascular system - CV			Pass	Pass
Developmental - DEV			Pass	Pass
Endocrine system - END			Pass	Pass
Eye	2.88E-05		Pass	Pass
Hematopoietic system - HEM			Pass	Pass
Immune system - IMM			Pass	Pass
Kidney - KID			Pass	Pass
Nervous system - NS			Pass	Pass
Reproductive system - REP			Pass	Pass
Respiratory system - RES	2.88E-05	3.71E-03	Pass	Pass
Skin			Pass	Pass



	10	U
ENGINEERING AND COMPLIANCE OFFICE	A/N	Date
	see below	7-20-2010
LICATION PROCESSING AND CALCULATIONS	Processed by RNL	Checked by

10

APPL

Rule Evaluation

Rule 212(c)(1):

This section requires a public notice for all new or modified permit units that may emit air contaminants located within 1,000 feet from the outer boundary of a school.

Since there is no school within 1,000 feet from this project, a public notice will not be required.

Rule 212(c)(2):

This section requires a public notice for all new or modified facilities that have on-site emission increases exceeding any of the daily maximums as specified by Rule 212(g).

	Controlled Emissions (lb/dy)					
	ROG	$\underline{\mathrm{NO}}_{\underline{x}}$	<u>PM₁₀</u>	<u>SO₂</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>Pb</u>
Increase from Facility	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAX Limit	30	40	30	60	220	3
Required Public Notice	No	No	No	No	No	No

The above table summarizes the emission limits and increases. Since emission increases are less than the limits, a public notice will not be required.

Rule 212(c)(3):

Since this project will result in negligible emission increases of TACs, resulting in MICRs less than 1E-6, a public notice will not be required.

Rule 212(g):

This section requires a public notice for all new or modified sources that have emission increases exceeding any of the daily maximums as specified by Rule 212(g).

The following table summarizes the limit and Potential-to-Emit (PTE) emissions from the project:

	Controlled Emissions (lb/dy)					
	ROG	$\underline{\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}}$	<u>PM₁₀</u>	<u>SO₂</u>	<u>CO</u>	<u>Pb</u>
Increase from Project	0	0	0	0	0	0
MAX Limit	30	40	30	60	220	3
Required Public Notice	No	No	No	No	No	No

Thus, this section will not trigger a public notice requirement.



ENGINEERING AND COMPLIANCE OFFICE

10 7 A/N Date 7-20-2010 Processed by Checked by RNI

APPLICATION PROCESSING AND CALCULATIONS

Rule 401: Visible emissions are not expected with the proper operation and maintenance of the equipment. Rule 402: Nuisance is not expected with the proper operation and maintenance of the equipment. Rule 1130: Vertis is not proposing to use any new inks and is continuing to use only Rule 1130 compliant inks. Vertis is not proposing to use any new solvents Rule 1171: and is continuing to use only Rule 1171 compliant solvents. ROG emission increases from each piece of Rule 1303(a): equipment are not expected in this project. Vertis is continuing to vent emissions from heatset printing lines to oxidizers that are considered BACT. Rule 1303(b)(1): Further air quality modeling analysis will not be needed since the proposed change of permit conditions will not result in an emission increase. Rule 1303(b)(2): The proposed change of permit conditions will not result in an increase in criteria air pollutant emissions. Therefore, external emission offsets will not be needed. Rule 1303(b)(4): The facility is expected to be in full compliance with all applicable rules and regulations of the District. Rules 1303(b)(5)(A) & 1303(b)(5)(D): The proposed project does not

qualify as a major modification at a major polluting facility. Further, the proposed project is exempt from CEQA according to the



ENGINEERING AND COMPLIANCE OFFICE

Pages	Page
10	8
A/N	Date
see below	7-20-2010
Processed by	Checked by
RNL	

APPLICATION PROCESSING AND CALCULATIONS

responses Vertis provided on Form 400-CEQA for this project. Their responses in "Review of Impacts Which May Trigger CEQA" on Form 400-CEQA were all marked "No".

Rule 1303(b)(5)(B): The proposed change in permit conditions does not result in an increase in emissions and does not qualify as a major modification at an existing major polluting facility.

Rule 1303(b)(5)(C): A modeling analysis for plume visibility is not required since the proposed change of permit conditions does not result in an emission increase of PM10 or NOx emissions.

Rule 1401:

Rule 1401 contains the following requirements: 1)(d)(1) MICR and Cancer Burden - The cumulative increase in MICR which is the sum of the calculated MICR values for all toxic air contaminants emitted from the new, relocated or modified permit unit will not result in any of the following:

- (A) an increased MICR greater than one in one million (1.0 x 10^{-6}) at any receptor location, if the permit unit is constructed without T-BACT; B) an increased MICR greater than ten in one million (1.0 x 10^{-5}) at any receptor location, if the permit unit is constructed with T-BACT; C) a cancer burden greater than 0.5.
- 2)(d)(2) Chronic Hazard Index The cumulative increase in total chronic HI for any target organ system due to total emissions from the new, relocated or modified permit unit will not exceed 1.0 at any receptor location.
- 3)(d)(3) Acute Hazard Index The cumulative increase in total acute HI for any target organ system due to total emissions from the new, relocated or modified permit unit will not exceed 1.0 at any receptor location.

The calculated MICRs are less than 1E-6 and the calculated HIAs and HICs are less than 1.0. Therefore, this project is in compliance with Rule 1401.



ENGINEERING AND COMPLIANCE OFFICE

see below Processed by **APPLICATION PROCESSING AND CALCULATIONS**

10 7-20-2010 Checked by RNT.

The equipment will be conditioned such that no toxic air contaminants will be used that are listed in 1401 amended 6-5-2009, except for hydrochloric acid, naphthalene, phosphoric acid, PAHs and xylenes.

Regulation XXX Evaluation

Rule 3000(b)(6) defines a "de minimus significant permit revision" as any Title V permit revision where the cumulative emission increases of non-RECLAIM pollutants or HAPs from these permit revisions during the term of the permit are not greater than any of the following emission threshold levels:

Air	Daily Maximum			
Contaminant	(lbs/day)			
HAP	30			
VOC	30			
NOx	40			
PM ₁₀	30			
SOx	60			
CO	220			

To determine if a project is considered as a "de minimus significant permit revision" for non-RECLAIM pollutants or HAPs, emission increases for non-RECLAIM pollutants or HAPs resulting from all permit revisions that are made after the issuance of the renewal Title V permit shall be accumulated and compared to the above threshold levels. This proposed project is the 2nd permit revision to the Title V renewal permit issued to this facility on 9-22-09. The following table summarizes the cumulative emission increases resulting from all permit revisions since the initial Title V permit was issued:

	HAP	VOC	NOx	PM10	SOx	CO
Current Revision	0	0	0	0	0	0
1 st Revision, upgrading	0	0	0	0	0	0
to low NOx burners						
Cumulative Total	0	0	0	0	0	0
Maximum Daily	30	30	40	30	60	220

Since the cumulative emission increases resulting from all permit revisions are not greater than any of the emission threshold levels, this proposed project is considered as a "de minimus significant permit revision" for non-RECLAIM pollutants or HAPs.



ENGINEERING AND COMPLIANCE OFFICE

APPLICATION PROCESSING AND CALCULATIONS

Pages	Page
10	10
A/N see below	Date 7-20-2010
Processed by RNL	Checked by

Recommendation

The proposed project is expected to comply with all applicable District Rules and Regulations. Since the proposed project is considered as a "de minimus significant permit revision", it is exempt from the public participation requirements under Rule 3006(b). A proposed permit incorporating this permit revision will be submitted to EPA for a 45-day review pursuant to Rule 3003(j). If EPA does not have any objections within the review period, a revised Title V permit will be issued to this facility.